

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,699	07/03/2003	Steven J. Rocci	BNWL-0006	7197
23377 7:	590 06/30/2005	EXAMINER		
WOODCOCK	K WASHBURN LLP	NGUYEN, PHUNG		
ONE LIBERTY	Y PLACE, 46TH FLOOR			
1650 MARKET STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPH	IA, PA 19103		2632	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	. [
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/613,699	ROCCI, STEVEN J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phung T. Nguyen	2632				
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory in - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repon. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
_						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	-					
· <u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	del Ex parte Quayle, 1905 C.D.	11, 400 O.G. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.					
<u> </u>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-24 and 31</u> is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 25,28 and 30 is/are rejected.					
<u> </u>	✓ Claim(s) <u>26,27,29</u> is/are objected to.☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been r sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)		,				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 	4) Interview Su Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date,				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/613,699 Page 2

Art Unit: 2632

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 28, line 3, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 25, 28, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams (U.S. Pat. 6,057,764).

Regarding claim 25: Williams discloses dynamically bypassed alarm system which includes a motion detector, in response to an authorization signal, prevents the motion detector from providing an indication of motion in a protected space to an alarm panel (fig. 1, col. 1, lines 54-63, and col. 3, lines 4-19). Williams does not show a module containing circuitry that, in response to an authorization signal, prevents the motion detector from providing an indication of

motion in a protected space to an alarm panel. Since Williams discloses an alarm system which allows authorized users to freely move throughout the protected space, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that the system of Williams does include the module containing circuitry which prevents the motion detector from providing an indication of motion in a protected space to an alarm panel.

Regarding claim 28: Williams discloses wherein the circuitry comprises a first transceiver that transmits a query signal, and the authorization signal is transmitted by a second transceiver, in response to receipt of the query signal, the second transceiver being adapted to be carried by an object (col. 3, lines 9-18).

Regarding claim 30: Williams inherently discloses wherein the circuitry is battery Powered as shown in figure 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 26, 27, and 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-24, and 31 are allowed.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phung T Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2968 The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:30pm Mon thru. Friday, with alternate Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on 571-272-2964. The fax numbers for the organization

Application/Control Number: 10/613,699

Art Unit: 2632

where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-308-9051 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

Phung Nguyen

Date: June 15, 2005

Page 4